Analysis for Energy Region – transalpine part Northern Primorska Subregion

A.2 Urbanistic & energetic analysis of the pilot areas

A.2.1.1.1 - Basic data and selection criteria:

Tab. 01: Basic Data

Fig. 01 - location of the pilot area in the alpine territory

| country | Slovenia |
|----------------------------------|--|
| region (NUTS 2) | Zahodna Slovenija (Western Slovenia) |
| district /province (NUTS 3) | Goriška statistical region |
| name of pilot area: | Northern Primorska Subregion |
| in case (LAU 1) | Tolmin, Idrija |
| area (km²) | 1368(km²) |
| population | 35807 |
| participating municipalities: | 5 |
| names: (LAU 2) | Bovec Kobarid Tolmin Cerkno Idrija |
| | |









Fig. 02 - impression of the pilot area









Analysis for Energy Region - transalpine part Northern Primorska Subregion

A.2 Urbanistic & energetic analysis of the pilot areas

Txt. 01 - description of the pilot area

Pilot area covers a wide area of 5 municipalities in the northwest of Slovenia. Geographically it spreads over upper Soča valley and Idrijca valley with hilly hinterland.

Described area has alpine climate with some Mediterranean influences what consequently impact on three different architectural types of buildings (Bovec type, Kobarid - Tolmin type and Škofja Loka – Cerkno type). Remote area in sense of transportation and economy has developed specific type of construction, using more or less local materials. Wood used to have a big role in building until the modern way of construction has prevailed.

The whole area has very little flat terrain; plains are present mainly at the bottom of the valleys and basins and on high plateaus. Each municipality has one small town, other settlements are mostly villages. Taking advantage of the plain areas for agriculture, villages were set at the edge of the flat land. On the other hand, especially in the hilly areas in Idrija and Cerkno municipalities, isolated farms are common. They consist of a central house and few outbuildings, around them there is little land for agriculture.

Fig. 03 - map of the pilot area









Txt. 02 - inter-municipal dimension

We do not have official intermunicipal political structure; municipalities cooperate within Council of mayor which is responsible for verifying and implementation of Regional Development Programme. One of the goals in the programme is also the sustainable use of natural materials and conservation of cultural heritage. This framework coincides with the objectives of AlpBC project. Txt. 03 - relevance of the selected pilot area

Selected pilot area lies at the south-eastern edge of the Alps and the included municipalities geographically differ from each other. Bovec, Kobarid and Tolmin municipalities are characterised by mountainous relief, where principal alpine valleys show relicts of glacial transformation, while in Cerkno and Idrija municipalities hilly terrain prevails; lower peaks and karst plateaus, separated by numerous valleys are typical for this subregion. Climate (cold winters and high precipitations), steep terrain and different way of life influenced on three different types of traditional alpine construction in the pilot area. They all have in common basic alpine elements - high thermal insulation, high roofs, small windows and wooden elements but they are also specific in some details such as balconies, steepness of the roof, decking, connection with nonresidential tract, layout in area... The chosen pilot area may seem small in alpine perspective, but because of its characteristic it's important nonetheless. The pilot area can be relevant because of its transient character between sub-Mediterranean and central alpine regions and consequently the specificities in climate factors. Since these characteristics are not limited only to this region, the model developed in the chosen pilot region can probably be transferred to different regions

Txt. 04 - possible capitalisation effects

We didn't cooperate in AlpHouse project, but we have references on NENA, Enerbuild, Alpstar and CEC5 project.

Nena and Alpstar projects promote the value added chain of wood, which is the predominating material in selected pilot area. Alpstar is going to prepare strategy toward carbon neutrality.

In Enerbuild project we implemented technologies of passive houses in cultural heritage with educating experts. In CEC5 project we are going to renovate public building to demonstrate energy efficiency and utilization of renewable energy sources.

We take part also in CABEE project, which is closely linked to AlpBC. We will try to capitalise all the results of previous and ongoing projects to make all the work within Alpine Space programme more effective.







within the southern Alpine loop.

A.2 Urbanistic & energetic analysis of the pilot areas

Txt. 05 - expected impact based on stakeholder constellation

Different stakeholders will be included in the project, from municipalities to experts and institutions on national level.

Municipalities and Institute of the Protection of Cultural Heritage of Slovenia could use the results of the project for preparation of municipal spatial plans and spatial planning in general.

Faculty of Architecture and Building and Civil Engineering Institute ZRMK are motivated for new approaches in the use of local materials.

Ministry of Agriculture and the Environment is interested in opportunities of close loop economies, like Chamber of Craft and Small Business of Slovenia.

Slovenian Environmental Public Fund is important for co-financing environmental investments.

Triglav National park examines the acceptability of new buildings in protected areas.

Txt 06 - first remarks to challenges and potentials

We see the challenges and potentials in the promotion of local materials for conservation of traditional architecture. In our pilot region urbanism and energetic planning are not combined but we would like the regional approach to plan in this way. Our potential in principle of closed loop economies is continuation of initiatives for value added chains of wood due to the fact that wood in our area is dominating. Success in local area would be cooperation between residents, local enterprises and municipalities in the establishment of long distance district heating systems and consequently achieving lower levels of carbon in the environment. We see another opportunity in linking local craftsmen working with local materials such as wood, stone and other with the building sector in the field of renovation and consequently also in construction of new buildings. This is one of the sustainable ways how to use local resources for the benefit of households and companies in alpine regions.

Specific incentive scheme may be considered in new regional development plan for the next programme period.







A.2 Urbanistic & energetic analysis of the pilot areas

A.2.1.1.2. - Basic analysis and data of the pilot area

a) Basic statistic data

Г

| Country: | Slovenia |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Region (NUTS 2): | Zahodna Slovenija (Western Slovenia) |
| district /province (NUTS 3): | Goriška statistical region |
| name of pilot area: | Northern Primorska Subregion |
| in case LAU 1: | Tolmin, Idrija |
| area (km²): | 1368(km ²) |
| population: | 35.807 |
| participating municipalities: | 5 |
| names: (LAU 2) | Bovec Kobarid Tolmin Cerkno Idrija |

b) Map of location of the area in alpine territory









A.2 Urbanistic & energetic analysis of the pilot areas

d) Map of pilot area 1:200 000









Land use according to CORINE









Posoški razvojni center

Settlement pattern









Posoški razvojni cente

Settlements, elevation, water bodies - BOVEC









Settlements, elevation, water bodies - KOBARID









Settlements, elevation, water bodies - TOLMIN









Settlements, elevation, water bodies - CERKNO









Settlements, elevation, water bodies - IDRIJA









Transportation network of the pilot area

Traffic infrastructure

The most important roads in the pilot region are:

- G2/102 Robič – Kobarid – Tolmin – Idrija that connects the region with central Slovenia and the capital towards the east and with Italy towards the west,

- G2/103 Peršeti – Nova Gorica with connection to R1/203 Predel – Bovec – Kobarid and R1/206 Kranjska Gora – Vršič – Trenta – Bovec.

Road pavement is in general in bad condition, landslides and rock falls are usual, especially in autumn and winter periods. Costs of maintenance are high due to mountainous and hilly terrain, new investments are quite rare.

Single-track railway line runs from Jesenice – Podbrdo – Most na Soči – Nova Gorica and offers connection to regional centre of Nova Gorica (high schools) and Gorenjska region. The track is not electrified and with axis load of 20 t/axis).

The region has one well equipped sport airfield in Bovec.









Energy infrastructure in the pilot area

Energy infrastructure

Network consists of transmission lines of 400 kV and 110 kV, and distribution system with network of 110 kV, 35 kV, 20 kV, 10 kV and 0,4 kV. There is no gas transmission and distribution line in the region. Alternative resources (RES) of energy are not in common systematic use although region has high biomass potential. Wood is common in individual usage in rural areas; characteristics: old technologies, low yields, high emissions. Hydropower is the most important RES in the region with big power plants on Soča River (6 altogether, in the pilot region there is a dam and accumulation for 2 of them – Doblar I and Doblar II) and several small ones (small HPP) on Soča tributaries.

Doblar I:

Operation start: 1939 River mileage point (from the source): 71.5 km Precipitation area: 1,150 km² Average annual discharge: 82.3 m³/s Nom. Height of the headwaters: 153 m Volume of the basin: 5,800,000 m³ (total) Volume of the basin: 3.600.000 m³ (useful) Permissible oscillation in the basin: 2.0 m Full drop: 45.4 m High-pressure shaft: 3,567 m Rated discharge (nominal): 75 m³/s Rated discharge (maximal): 96 m³/s Turbine: 3 x Francis vertical Generators: 3 x Three-phase synchronous Output into a 110 kV network Total installed power: 30 MW Annual production: 150,000 MWh

Doblar II:

Operation start: 2002 Derivation tunnel: D = 6.5 m, L = 3900 m Rated discharge: 105 m³/s Full drop: 48.5 m Number of aggregates: 1 Turbine: Kaplan vertical Generator: Three-phase synchronous Output into a 110 kV network Installed power: 40 MW Annual production: 199,000 MWh









A.2.1.1.2 Basic Analysis and data of the pilot area - e) Charts











| Inhabitants p | erkm) | | | | |
|---------------|------------|----------|--------------|------------------|----------------|
| Municipality | Area (km2) | Inh./km2 | Municipality | natural increase | total increase |
| Bovec | 367 | 9 | Bovec | 9 | 62 |
| Kobarid | 193 | 22 | Kobarid | 1 | 1 |
| Tolmin | 382 | 31 | Tolmin | -53 | -82 |
| Cerkno | 132 | 36 | Cerkno | 14 | -4 |
| Idrija | 294 | 41 | Idrija | -35 | -16 |
| Total/average | 1.368 | 28 | Total | -64 | -39 |

Pilot region is relatively sparsely populated with population density far below national's average; in fact this pilot region has one of the lowest population densities in the country. Total number of inhabitants is continuously decreasing. Almost 50% of population is above 45 years of age and this share is increasing, age class 0 - 19 takes only 18,5% of the total. Ageing index is above national's average and reaches 161,8 (women) or 98,7 (men)*.

Functional role of settlements

- Settlement of regional (inter-municipal) importance: Tolmin, Idrija
- Municipality centres: Bovec, Kobarid, Cerkno
- Settlement of municipal importance: Podbrdo, Most na Soči, Spodnja Idrija

*this data includes whole Goriška region (including more urbanised southern part with Municipality of Nova Gorica, Ajdovščina, Šempeter-Vrtojba, etc. – 13 municipalities in total). Ageing index for the pilot region should be even higher.

Age classes of population (Y:2012):

| Municipality | 0-14 | 15-19 | 20-29 | 30-44 | 45-64 | 65 + | SKUPAJ |
|--------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Bovec | 384 | 128 | 368 | 622 | 1018 | 680 | 3200 |
| Kobarid | 677 | 259 | 569 | 1043 | 1480 | 771 | 4799 |
| Idrija | 1537 | 525 | 1305 | 2398 | 3536 | 2345 | 11646 |
| Cerkno | 575 | 181 | 455 | 821 | 1307 | 863 | 4202 |
| Tolmin | 1726 | 620 | 1338 | 2595 | 3455 | 2226 | 11960 |
| | 4899 | 1713 | 4035 | 7479 | 10796 | 6885 | 35807 |
| | 13,68% | 4,78% | 11,27% | 20,89% | 30,15% | 19,23% | 100,00% |









2: Charts of demographic trends in pilot area describing demographic situation - HOUSEHOLDS (Y 2011)













3: Uses of buildings (Y 2011)

| Number of dwellings acc. to type of ocupancy | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 2011 | | | | | | | | |
| Municipality | Permanent | Temporary (seasonal or secondary usage) | Empty | | | | | | |
| Bovec | 1387 | 632 | 673 | | | | | | |
| Cerkno | 1485 | 76 | 463 | | | | | | |
| Idrija | 3983 | 152 | 835 | | | | | | |
| Kobarid | 1453 | 99 | 518 | | | | | | |
| Tolmin | 3958 | 183 | 1213 | | | | | | |

| Number of dwellings for tourist or secondary usage | | | | | | |
|--|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Municipality | 2011 | | | | | |
| Bovec | 632 | | | | | |
| Cerkno | 76 | | | | | |
| Idrija | 152 | | | | | |
| Kobarid | 99 | | | | | |
| Tolmin | 183 | | | | | |

4: GDP of municipalities: NO DATA AVAILABLE on the level of municipalities or pilot region.

5: Energy usage

















| Number of | dwellings acc. to type o | f heating | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|---------------------|--------------------|-------|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | 20 | 2011 | | | | |
| Municipality | Туре | District heating | Central heating | Other | No heating | | | |
| | Permanent | - | 988 | 336 | 63 | | | |
| | Temporary (seasonal or secondary usage) | _ | 94 | 484 | 54 | | | |
| Bovec | Empty | - | 328 | 234 | | | | |
| | Permanent | - | 1065 | 372 | 48 | | | |
| | Temporary (seasonal or secondary usage) | - | 16 | 56 | 4 | | | |
| Cerkno | Empty | - | 168 | 134 | 161 | | | |
| | Permanent | 219 | 2432 | 1266 | 66 | | | |
| | Temporary (seasonal or secondary usage) | - | 41 | 96 | 15 | | | |
| Idrija | Empty | 19 | 309 | 341 | 166 | | | |
| | Permanent | - | 1044 | 357 | 52 | | | |
| | Temporary (seasonal or secondary usage) | - | 17 | 58 | 24 | | | |
| Kobarid | Empty | - | 216 | 168 | 134 | | | |
| | Permanent | - | 2659 | 1152 | 147 | | | |
| | Temporary (seasonal or | | | | | | | |
| | secondary usage) | - | 34 | 126 | 23 | | | |
| Tolmin | Empty | - | 445 | 462 | 306 | | | |







Analysis for Energy Region – transalpine part Northern Primorska Subregion

6: TOURISM (Y 2011)

| 2012 jai | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | in | feb | mar | apr | maj | jun | jul | avg | sep | okt | nov | dec | average |
| Bovec | 536 | 534 | 483 | 1.155 | 1.316 | 1.365 | 1.424 | 1.421 | 1.414 | 922 | 576 | 579 | 9 |
| Kobarid | 144 | 125 | 124 | 410 | 519 | 516 | 542 | 543 | 527 | 476 | 130 | 159 | 3 |
| Tolmin | 161 | 159 | 156 | 271 | 273 | 308 | 350 | 345 | 326 | 214 | 158 | 163 | 2 |
| Cerkno | 144 | 144 | 136 | 136 | 136 | 136 | 145 | 144 | 136 | 139 | 139 | 140 | 1 |
| Idrija | 78 | 78 | 77 | 87 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 89 | 89 | 87 | 88 | 87 | |
| | 1.063 | 1.040 | 976 | 2.059 | 2.337 | 2.418 | 2.554 | 2.542 | 2.492 | 1.838 | 1.091 | 1.128 | 1.7 |

| | 2.887 | 2.810 | 2.682 | 5.877 | 6.808 | 7.379 | 8.014 | 8.046 | 7.755 | 5.212 | 3.131 | 3.247 | 5.32 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Idrija | 272 | 262 | 262 | 291 | 308 | 308 | 308 | 292 | 292 | 287 | 289 | 287 | 28 |
| Cerkno | 384 | 384 | 362 | 371 | 371 | 370 | 397 | 392 | 370 | 375 | 375 | 381 | 37 |
| Tolmin | 475 | 465 | 450 | 858 | 871 | 1.032 | 1.188 | 1.165 | 1.110 | 629 | 481 | 489 | 76 |
| Kobarid | 401 | 357 | 359 | 1.220 | 1.622 | 1.652 | 1.740 | 1.756 | 1.705 | 1.383 | 483 | 589 | 1.10 |
| Bovec | 1.355 | 1.342 | 1.249 | 3.137 | 3.636 | 4.017 | 4.381 | 4.441 | 4.278 | 2.538 | 1.503 | 1.501 | 2.78 |

| Overnights | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|-------|--------|---------|
| 2012 | jan | feb | mar | apr | maj | jun | jul | avg | sep | okt | nov | dec | average |
| Bovec | 4.544 | 6.928 | 7.545 | 5.795 | 11.934 | 22.098 | 49.212 | 65.589 | 17.102 | 4.069 | 1.250 | 5.405 | 16.789 |
| Kobarid | 732 | 603 | 1.315 | 2.501 | 6.160 | 10.523 | 23.277 | 35.232 | 8.181 | 2.472 | 846 | 601 | 7.704 |
| Idrija | 1.108 | 886 | 1.109 | 1.118 | 819 | 1.032 | 732 | 1.474 | 1.119 | 1.031 | 862 | 380 | 973 |
| Cerkno | 8.869 | 7.346 | 4.203 | 1.266 | 1.585 | 1.634 | 1.961 | 2.433 | 1.426 | 447 | 243 | 8.077 | 3.291 |
| Tolmin | 898 | 939 | 1.578 | 2.763 | 3.945 | 4.876 | 7.614 | 12.492 | 3.800 | 2.017 | 1.280 | 1.281 | 3.624 |
| | 16.151 | 16.702 | 15.750 | 13.443 | 24.443 | 40.163 | 82.796 | 117.220 | 31.628 | 10.036 | 4.481 | 15.744 | 32.380 |

Major part of all tourist activity is concentrated in the northern part of the region; municipality of Bovec is taking the lead in summer and winter tourism. In summer water sports on river Soča prevail (rafting, kayaking, etc.) but recently also other outdoor activities (cycling, paragliding, mountaineering, etc.) are significantly contributing. While the upper part of Soča River (in municipalities of Bovec and also Kobarid) is concentrating on i.e. adrenalin activities on the water, the lower part in municipality of Tolmin and Kobarid offers more peaceful river environment suitable for fly fishing. Fishing tourism is becoming economically very important tourist sector with high effect on financial part (fishermen spend twice as average other tourist in the valley per day). Potential conflicts with other uses of the river such as water sports were avoided with a decree setting the timeframe for different users. All of this brought more balance on the river, improved ecosystem status and had a positive effect on local economy. Whole region is trying to promote also cultural/historic heritage, concentrating mainly on 1st and 2nd world war remains, in Idrija heritage of mercury and the mines are important tourist product, Idrija with its mining heritage recently classified for the UNESCO world heritage list. Winter tourism is important for Bovec (ski resort Kanin-Sella Nevea) and Cerkno (ski resort Cerkno).









A.2.1.1.3 Basic catalogue of the participating municipalities (LAU 2) - BOVEC

| Name | Bovec |
|--|----------|
| Area (km2) | 367 |
| Inhabitants/km2 | 9 |
| Population TOTAL | 3.249 |
| 0-14 | 384 |
| 15-19 | 128 |
| 20-29 | 368 |
| 30-44 | 622 |
| 45-64 | 1.018 |
| 65+ | 680 |
| Natural increase | 9 |
| Total increase | 62 |
| Number of persons in employment (by residence) | 1.252 |
| Number of persons in paid employment | 876 |
| Number of self-employed persons | 171 |
| Number of registered unemployed persons | 150 |
| Average monthly gross earnings per person in paid employment (EUR) | 1.224,82 |
| Average monthly net earnings per person in paid employment (EUR) | 823,11 |
| Number of enterprises | 337 |
| Turnover of enterprises (1,000 EUR) | 72.686 |
| roads/km2 | 0,38 |
| Unimployment rate (april 2013) | 9,7 |
| Main economic sectors | tourism |
| Number of SMEs in construction sector | / |



ne Julian Alps. The area is influenced by the Soča nal park. The administrative centre of the Bovec

Valley and the peaks of mts. Mangart, Jalovec, but not Bovec itself. Two of the most
in the Municipality of Bovec: the Predil Pass on est, and the Vršič Pass in the northeast, which buring Slovenian region of Upper Carniola. In the Resia Valley in Italy.

Bovec, such as the source of the Soča River, the Valley, connected to Bovec by a hiking trail. The red in the town of Bovec itself, with numerous n some mild Mediteranean influences. Rainfall is ge for Bovec - highest in the country). Since the influences this is also visible in the vegetation des).

(Bovec house).















A.2.1.1.3 Basic catalogue of the participating municipalities (LAU 2) - KOBARID

| Name | Kobarid |
|--|----------|
| Area (km2) | 193 |
| Inhabitants/km2 | 22 |
| Population TOTAL | 4.217 |
| 0-14 | 677 |
| 15-19 | 259 |
| 20-29 | 569 |
| 30-44 | 1.043 |
| 45-64 | 1.480 |
| 65+ | 711 |
| Natural increase | 1 |
| Total increase | 1 |
| Number of persons in employment (by residence) | 1.564 |
| Number of persons in paid employment | 743 |
| Number of self-employed persons | 220 |
| Number of registered unemployed persons | 166 |
| Average monthly gross earnings per person in paid employment (EUR) | 1.273,53 |
| Average monthly net earnings per person in paid employment (EUR) | 859,28 |
| Number of enterprises | 307 |
| Turnover of enterprises (1,000 EUR) | 50.899 |
| roads/km2 | 0,72 |
| Unimployment rate (april 2013) | 8,4 |
| Main economic sectors | Tourism |
| Number of SMEs in construction sector | / |



In Alps with the Soča River and its affluents. int of two valleys. The valley of the Nadiža River y in the east reaches in a gentle sweep down to rticularly known for its turbulent past. Kobarid battle in human history that took place during sudden military offensive today known by the d in the museum in the centre of Kobarid. The

n-oriented (mountain-climbing, cycling, rafting intaintops of Stol, Matajur, and Krn and to the act visitors in summer. The Krn mountain range nal Park, a realm of pristine nature harboring

influences because Soča valley is open to the tation (beech, hornbeam, ash and larch, spruce innual average for Kobarid is 2699 mm). Central river Idrija between Robič and Kobarid, the y is very high.

minska hiša (Kobarid Tolmin house).







Analysis for Energy Region - transalpine part Northern Primorska Subregion









Name Tolmin Area (km2) 382 Inhabitants/km2 31 Population TOTAL 11.674 0-14 1.726 15-19 620 20-29 1.338 30-44 2.595 45-64 3.455 65+ 2.226 Natural increase -53 -82 Total increase Number of persons in employment (by residence) 4.575 Number of persons in paid employment 3.647 Number of self-employed persons 649 Number of registered unemployed persons 556 Average monthly gross earnings per person in paid 1.295.88 employment (EUR) Average monthly net earnings per person in paid 868,46 employment (EUR) Number of enterprises 1.034 Turnover of enterprises (1,000 EUR) 278.882 roads/km2 1,45 Unimployment rate (april 2013) 10,6 Main economic sectors industry Number of SMEs in construction sector

A.2.1.1.3 Basic catalogue of the participating municipalities (LAU 2) - TOLMIN



venia. It still belongs to Upper Soča Valley but high Imin basin is the lowest part with confluences of n Tolmin-Bohinj mountain range form the northern nicipality carstic plateaus Banjščice and Šentviška lediterranean climate (upstream Soča River) and ntrated in autumn (first peak), the second peak is ater - the main artery is the Soca River with left Irebuščica. Rivers have a torrential character, ater levels and occasional severe flooding. Forest s grow beech, hornbeam and ash and shady slopes er altitudes complemented by spruce, maple and nal's average due to difficult hilly terrain. Nucleus ys and scattered villages and isolated farms on the griculture. Alpine pastures allowed production of

tolminska hiša (Kobarid Tolmin house).







Analysis for Energy Region - transalpine part Northern Primorska Subregion









A.2.1.1.3 Basic catalogue of the participating municipalities (LAU 2) - CERKNO

| Name | Cerkno |
|--|----------|
| Area (km2) | 132 |
| Inhabitants/km2 | 36 |
| Population TOTAL | 4.788 |
| 0-14 | 575 |
| 15-19 | 181 |
| 20-29 | 455 |
| 30-44 | 821 |
| 45-64 | 1.307 |
| 65+ | 863 |
| Natural increase | 14 |
| Total increase | -4 |
| Number of persons in employment (by residence) | 2.225 |
| Number of persons in paid employment | 1.454 |
| Number of self-employed persons | 248 |
| Number of registered unemployed persons | 103 |
| Average monthly gross earnings per person in paid employment (EUR) | 1.187,04 |
| Average monthly net earnings per person in paid employment (EUR) | 807,7 |
| Number of enterprises | 348 |
| Turnover of enterprises (1,000 EUR) | 112.881 |
| roads/km2 | 2,21 |
| Unimployment rate (april 2013) | 4,9 |
| Main economic sectors | industry |
| Number of SMEs in construction sector | / |



errain, numerous creeks created narrow gorges t on the top of the plateaus and on the terraces find the majority of settlements; beside Cerkno, t the bottom of the valley. Otherwise scattered intal climate with average of 1600-1800mm of el there is a distinctive thermal belt (also visible tains into Idrijca River.

ent in the municipality (manufacturing electro e a few employments also in tourist sector and

cerkljanska hiša (Škofjeloka-Cerkno house).















A.2.1.1.3 Basic catalogue of the participating municipalities (LAU 2) - IDRIJA

| Name | Idrija |
|--|----------|
| Area (km2) | 294 |
| Inhabitants/km2 | 41 |
| Population TOTAL | 11.930 |
| 0-14 | 1.537 |
| 15-19 | 525 |
| 20-29 | 1.305 |
| 30-44 | 2.398 |
| 45-64 | 3.536 |
| 65+ | 2.345 |
| Natural increase | -35 |
| Total increase | -16 |
| Number of persons in employment (by residence) | 5.011 |
| Number of persons in paid employment | 4.953 |
| Number of self-employed persons | 442 |
| Number of registered unemployed persons | 304 |
| Average monthly gross earnings per person in paid employment (EUR) | 1.413,65 |
| Average monthly net earnings per person in paid employment (EUR) | 923,75 |
| Number of enterprises | 977 |
| Turnover of enterprises (1,000 EUR) | 607.242 |
| roads/km2 | 1,51 |
| Unimployment rate (april 2013) | 6,6 |
| Main economic sectors | industry |
| Number of SMEs in construction sector | / |



of Municipality of Cerkno. The valleys are narrow and plateaus there is little flat land here. Exceptions are where there is slightly wider alluvial plain. Due to ed in the Idrija basin. Main town Idrija developed at drijca River at the confluence with river Kanomljica with forests, which are part of Trnovski gozd plateau. annually. At the level of 600-800m above sea level attern). The most important vegetation is Dinaric fir vegetation, which is located in the dry, semi-dry and

loitation of world second largest mercury mine: over uced a great amount of cultural heritage and natural ire still present in Idrija. Since 6.7.2012 all cultural drijca) have been inscribed in Unesco world heritage rers: Kolektor and Hidria. Besides exceptional cultural 93, the local authorities established the Upper Idrijca scape park. Due to outstanding natural features in all !011. Municipality of Idrija has recieved several titles: on of excellency 2011.

ska hiša (Škofjeloka-Cerkno house).







Analysis for Energy Region – transalpine part Northern Primorska Subregion

A.2 Urbanistic & energetic analysis of the pilot areas

A.2.1.2 Spatial Planning and sustainable development sectors

A.2.1.2.1 -identification of policy sectors









A.2.1.2 Screening of legal and planning context

A.2.1.2.2 – description of desired impact on identified policies and planning tools

a) Planning policies - Levels of spatial planning policies in Slovenia:

- National: The Spatial Development Strategy of Slovenia (SDSS) is the basic national document for the guiding of spatial development. It provides the framework for spatial development across the entire national territory and sets guidelines for development within the European space. It provides the concept of spatial planning and management, land use and spatial protection. Priorities within this concept are: the integration of Slovenia into the European space under equal terms, polycentric urban system and regional spatial development, vital and well-managed cities and towns, harmonized development of transport and settlement networks, and the construction of public infrastructure, vitality and attractiveness of rural areas, the enhancement of the identity of valuable natural and cultural landscape features, and spatial development in areas with specific potentials and problems.

- Regional: Till today no regional planning level was established. Between national and local level there is no other formal structure in Slovenia (regional, provincial level). Regional spatial plans were supposed to be implemented within 2014 - 2020 perspective as a complementary part of Regional development plans but the idea will apparently not be realised.
 - Local: Spatial planning on local level is defined in Municipal spatial plan (MSP) and detailed MSP (for certain location).

Legal basis for all spatial plans and strategies (not depending on the level) is Zakon o prostorskem načrtovanju (ZPNacrt) and resulting regulations.

b) sectoral planning (energy, forestry) as such are not directly tackled by SDC activities. Energy planning (energy, electric infrastructure) is in the jurisdiction of the State, forestry is regional.

Forest management: the forest management plans are made for a period of ten years, describing the condition of forests and their development trends, including the analysis of the performance of the previous management, defining the objectives, guidelines and measures to achieve the goals of good maintenance of the forests, regarding several roles: timber production, protection, biodiversity, water protection, recreation ... Forest management plans are made for the regional level (Goriška region), pilot area is contained in Management plan for Tolmin area 2011- 2020 (št. 01/11).

Energy: Local energetic concepts are made for each municipality in the pilot region.

SDC activities

Level of pilot region (inter-municipal):

- Elaboration of implementation plan – upgrading the Regional Low Carbon Strategy, it will serve as a strategic document for the area of AlpBC pilot region, offering a platform for further planning.

- Assessment and mapping of the suitability of the locations for different energy infrastructure: small hydropower plants, photovoltaic and solar plants, wind, biomass. Assessment of acceptability in the space (protection regimes, potential of the specific area, constrains, possibility of the investment...)

Municipal level:

- integration of the guidelines, obtained from the results of Enerbuild into MSP and detailed MSP. That should be done with annex to existing MSP. Integration of this pilot concept into Regional development programme is also possible (regional level).

- Local materials used for construction will be analyzed and promoted, local craftsmen and companies animated through workshops and AHCP. Use of local materials will be included into MSP as recommendations.

Regional level:

- Possible integration of the "pilot concept" of "energy planning" into Regional development programme.

For efficient implementation of SDC activities good cooperation between policy&decision makers, local craftsmen and planning sector is very important.







A.3 Analysis for closed loop economies in the construction sector

There are several potentials in the region since it is rich in wood, water, natural formations from which construction materials are being obtained. Today closed loops within the region are not established as such due to small market potential. The closest to the concept is energy production from small HPP and certain part of biomass chain. Potential to improve: wood, wool, stone, biomass.







A.4 Analysis for regional incentive schemes

| D | | | | | | | Definition of the incentive | | | | | | |
|----|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Analysis for incentives schemes | | | Territorial Level of the regulation (EU, National, regional, IMC, municipal) | Typology of incentive (economic contribution, volumetric bonus, taxes reduction) | Requirements and qualitatives paramethers to reach the incentive | Criteria for the granting of the incentive (graded list, automatic assignement, personal income) | Cumulation with other incentives (yes, no, which?) | Procedure for the request of the incentive - short description(lin k to the paper models, web, etc.) | Timing for obtainin g the incentive | Expirat ion date of the incenti ve | | |
| 1. | Activities aimed at increasing and spreading know-how in the building culture sector | 1.a. Incentives for private and public initiatives aimed to know the actual state of territory and building stock, in order to find and suggest specific guidance for the valorisation and the optimsation of the building culture and the landscape. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Renovation of the building stock | 2.a. Energy renovation of the building stock | Eco fund (crediting, grant incentives; for citizens, companies, public buildings), Petrol, GEN-i (energy check programme, awarness raising programme), ministries (grant incentives for energy renovation of public buildings) | national | economic contribution | defined by each call | defined by each call (graded list - usually) | no | | | | | |
| | | 2.b. Interventions (not in the field of energy optimisation) on the building stock | Rural development programme 2007-2013, Axis III - Measure 322, Renovation and development in the rural areas; municipal calls | national, local | economic contribution | defined by each call | defined by each call (graded list - usually) | no | | | | | |
| 3. | Use of local resources/renewable energy | 3.a. Private incentives for single plants using renewable energy | Eco fund (for multiappartment buildings; | national | economic contribution | defined by each call | defined by each call (graded list - usually) | no | | | | | |
| | | 3.b. Public/private incentives for district plants using renewable energy | national calls for district biomass heating , subsidies for electricity obtained from RES | national | economic contribution | defined by each call | defined by each call (graded list - usually) | no | | | | | |
| 4. | Optimisation of the use of non renewable resources | 4.a. Private incentives for single plants using non renewable resources | ? | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 4.b. Incentives for district plants using non renewable resources | ? | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Optimisation of the mobility | 5.a. Incentives for the private mobility | Eco fund (grant incentives for private electric cars) | national | economic contribution | defined by each call | defined by each call (graded list - usually) | no | | | | | |
| | | 5.b. Incentives for the public transports | subsidies for public transport (by municipalities) | local | economic contribution | defined by each call | defined by each call (graded list - usually) | no | | | | | |
| 6. | Optimisation of the land use (maintenance of the woods, of the agricultural area, of the rivers) | 6.a. Incentives for the private interventions | Rural development programme 2007-2013 - Axis I - Improving the competitiveness of agricultural and forestry sector, Rural development programme 2007-2013 - Axis II - Improving the environment and rural space | national | economic contribution | defined by each call | defined by each call (graded list - usually) | no | | | | | |
| | | 6.b. Incentives for the public interventions | Rural development programme 2007-2013 - Axis I - Improving the competitiveness of agricultural and forestry sector | national | economic contribution | defined by each call | defined by each call (graded list - usually) | no | | | | | |







Posoški razvojni center